

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE I United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,597	-	01/27/2004	Tomoki Ota	26E-007	6162
23400	75	90 12/22/2004		EXAMINER	
		HARDS, PLC BACON DRIVE	PEDDER. DENNIS H		
SUITE 10				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON	I, VA	20190	3612		
				DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/764,597	ОТА, ТОМОКІ					
Office Action Sun	nmary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Dennis H. Pedder	3612					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address/								
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on							
2a) This action is FINAL.		action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,8,10 and 11</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-7 and 9</u> is/are	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-7 and 9</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subje	ect to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89)			mary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw			lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/27/2004.	(P10-1449 of P10/5B/08)	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/764,597

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1, 8, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sawada et al.. Sawada et al. has annular frame member 31, annular roof panel main seal (see col. 2, line 36 and col. 3, lines 51-53), and sub-seal 42, with both seals attached to the frame 31 as seen in figure 4.

As to claim 8, Sawada et al. has rear seal at 41 and front seal shown in figure 6, forward of element at 41. The rear seal at 41 is attached to front edge of rear side panel 22 at a base part thereof and forms a u-shaped drain channel between the two tubular seal portions. The front tubular seal contacts a rear edge of front panel 21 to form a double seal.

As to claim 11, see moulding 221 and moulding 211, both of resin. The base part is attached to moulding 221 and the front seal contacts moulding 211 when closed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/764,597 Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawada et al..

Sawada et al. shows transparent panels. Glass and resin transparent panels are common knowledge in this art and obvious to use here to provide interior illumination for both and reduce weight for the latter embodiment.

As to claim 10, Sawada et al. shows solid material with sponge seal walls being also common knowledge in this art to enhance resiliency and improve sealing.

Applicant may seasonally challenge, for the official record in this application, this and any other statement of judicial notice in timely manner in response to this office action. Please specify the exact statement to be challenged. Applicant is reminded, with respect to the specific challenge put forth, of the duty of disclosure under Rule 56 to disclose material which is pertinent to patentability including claim rejections challenged by applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 1 is further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by any one of Sorensen, Snyder, Hough, or Igel et al..

Art Unit: 3612

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3-7, 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Farber and Ruhringer et al. detail drain channel seals.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis H. Pedder whose telephone number is (703) 308-2178. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

Dennis H. Pedder
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3612

12/20/04

Application/Control Number: 10/764,597 Page 5

Art Unit: 3612

DHP 12/20/2004